objections will not obscure the fact that Nye has written a fascinating book which approaches a much-studied period from a fresh angle.

Nye draws the conclusion that the pictures he has studied are visualizations of corporate ideology and that industrial photography is only one of many agents in the creation of such an ideology. He denies, however, that the produced pictures are part of a "conspiracy or self-conscious program of domination." The material he has studied, he claims, shows that this corporation did not consciously intend to use photography as ideology, and as proof he presents the fact that G.E. presented itself in different ways to the four different groups of addressees, i.e. that four contradictory, "unconscious" ideologies lay behind its photographic output. Even though Nye is right that one should be careful not to see conspiracy in every industrial activity, it seems to me that Nye is a bit too careful here. To me Nye's own book gives evidence that G.E. deliberately presented itself in different light to different groups in order to manipulate them, to make its engineers more efficient, to distract its workers, to unify its managers, and to persuade its consumers. Even though Nye denies it, there must have been "a hegemonic ideology" behind all this activity, namely a profit-seeking ideology which aimed at making General Electric dominate the market to an ever increasing extent.

Rolf Lundén
Uppsala University


Until recently, most work on advertising could be roughly divided into two groups. One, including Erving Goffmann, Roland Barthes, George Péninou, and Varda Langhol Leymore, and Stuart Ewen has been highly critical of advertising, based primarily on examining popular magazines. The other consists of internal histories of agencies or self-congratulatory books written by insiders like Frank Presbrey. Roland Marchand is more thorough and balanced in his criticism. To research this book, he worked through the files of advertising agencies, particularly J. Walter Thompson (New York), and Lord and Thomas (Chicago), and examined the records of large manufacturers such as General Electric and A.T. & T. He also read the in-house magazines of large agencies, such as Batten, Barton, Durstine, and Osborn, and trade journals such as Advertising Age, and Printer's Ink. As a result, his book synthesizes a chronological account of the inner workings of the profession with a formal analysis of the advertisements of the interwar years.

Marchand's first four chapters explain how after 1910 agencies set out to erase the "Barnum Image" and recreate themselves as "apostles of modernity." Copy writers evolved from salesmen writing fact-laden arguments from the industry's point of view to "confidants" who coached the reader. "Participatory" copy emphasizing the reader's experience rather than the product itself proved successful in 1920s campaigns by Fleischmann's Yeast, Listerine, and Kotex. Based on such successes "a style derived from the romantic novel and soon institutionalized in the radio soap opera" became the staple of advertising. "It intensified everyday problems and triumphs by tearing them out of humdrum routine, spotlighting them as crucial to immediate life decisions, or fantasizing them within enhanced, luxurious social settings." (24) The largely upper class members of the advertising profession and their agency sub-culture were atypical
of American society. Even as they tried to define the mass audience, they regarded it with a mixture of fascination and disgust. During the later 1920's and early 1930's, as executives experimented with radio, comics, and the printed media, their hopes of uplifting this audience to gentility gradually collapsed. Instead, they found that "the consumer would not accept serious advice about products in any medium without a dream world of frivolity and fantasy to go with it." (115)

In chapters five through eight Marchand looks at advertising synchronically. He examines its strategies of photographic and artistic representation, and analyzes the use of theatrical conventions to create social tableaux with standardized leading actors (usually upper class) and supporting cast (generally workers or shop assistants). These appeared in a number of exemplary "Great Parables" of modern advertising. "The parable of the first impression" commonly used to sell toothpaste, gum, soap, or bathroom fixtures, exploited "a tendency toward self-accusation" in American culture (217). "The parable of civilization redeemed," employed to sell gas heaters, cereals, newspapers, and cigarettes, explored and explained apparent exceptions to "the principle of progress without cost" (227). Such parables were text orientated. Visual clichés were also common, such as "Fantasies of Domain" which symbolized prestige and power by showing a man "commanding a view" from an office window. Some clichés "acquired a liturgical dimension:" beams of light radiated from door hinges or vacuum cleaners, crowds of secular worshippers adored refrigerators, and Listerine or a candy bar made possible poignant moments of family bliss. The Great Depression did not greatly change these parables or visual clichés, but rather transformed their styles. For example, the actors in the 1930's social tableaux often clenched their fists as they fought harder for success, denying that hard times posed any insurmountable obstacles.

Marchand's chapter organization shows his skepticism about advertising as a mirror of society. For him it is a distorting mirror, reflecting the social class of its creators as they adopted a therapeutic approach to the populace, both stirring their anxieties and assuring them that "You can have it all." (363) Ideally, Marchand would have more to say about the origins of the profession in the nineteenth century, but Jackson Lears' articles and forthcoming book will fill this gap. Others will add new "parables" to those he has discovered and find that some techniques he attributes to the interwar years came earlier. But these are quibbles when one considers the massive new research this book makes available in a readable style. Advertising the American Dream summarizes and exceeds previous scholarship and will become a standard work. Its nearly 200 illustrations, including color reproductions, make this not only an original but a handsome volume that belongs in every Americanist's library.

David Nye Copenhagen University


According to legend "demand the impossible!" was a graffito smeared on the allegorical walls of Paris in May 1968. Is it a revolutionary slogan, though? The very semantics of "demand" would seem to affirm the giver's authority. Here is an ambiguity that lies at the very core of Moylan's study and threatens to decenter it in unintended ways.